

BIO-FERTILIZER EFFECTS OF BACTERIA ON MANGROVES

Kathiresan. K¹, Masilamaniselvam. M²

¹Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India

²Department of Biotechnology, Sathyabama University, Chennai, India.

E-mail : kathirsum@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

Effect of two bacterial strains, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *Bacillus megaterium*, was studied on the growth of two mangrove species, *Rhizophora mucronata* and *Avicennia marina*, raised for 60 days in soil under three levels of salinity (0, 17.5 and 35 g l⁻¹). The bacillus treatment enhanced the shoot biomass by 171.6% in *A. marina*, while the azotobacter treatment increased it by 118.5%, as compared to their respective controls. In *Rhizophora mucronata*, the root biomass was higher by 123.9% in bacillus-treated, and by 69.6% in azotobacter-treated than in control. *Bacillus megaterium* was found to be more potent microbial fertilizer than *Azotobacter vinelandii* in stimulating growth of the mangroves.

KEYWORDS: Biofertilizer, *Azotobacter vinelandii*, *Bacillus megaterium*, mangroves

I. INTRODUCTION

Biofertilizers are the live or latent cells of beneficial microorganisms, which augment the availability of nutrients to the plants. The beneficial microorganisms are rhizobium, azotobacters, azospirillum, cyanobacteria, phosphobacteria and mycorrhiza. Among these, azotobacters and phosphobacteria play major role in the supply of nutrients and in the plant growth promoting activities. However, these bacteria are present in low populations in the natural environment [1]. Hence, they are multiplied artificially and incorporated in to the agricultural lands in the form of biofertilizers. A lot of research work is available on the microbial biofertilizers in agriculture practices. However, only dearth of work is available for mangroves with regard to microbial biofertilizers. Therefore, the present study has been made to evaluate the bio-fertilizer effect of *Bacillus megaterium* and *Azotobacter vinelandii*, isolated from mangrove sediments, on mangrove seedlings.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Azotobacter vinelandii and *Bacillus megaterium*, isolated from the mangrove sediments along the Vellar estuary (Lat. 11°29' N; Long. 79° 46' E), were cultured in the Winogradsky's medium for the azotobacters, and the Pikovskaya's medium for bacillus. These cultures were incubated at 28±2°C for 5 days on a shaker.

The azotobacter strain was tested for IAA production [2] and for nitrogen fixation by using acetylene reduction assay in a gas chromatogram. The bacillus strain was tested for its activity of phosphate solubilisation [3].

Preparation of microbial biofertilizer: Farmyard manure (FYM) (passing through 100-µm mesh sieve) was neutralized with commercially available calcium carbonate and autoclaved at 15 lbs pressure for 4 h. After cooling the FYM, the culture broth with microbial count of 10⁹ cells ml⁻¹

was blended with the FYM. The final moisture content of 35-40 per cent on wet basis was maintained. In the process of mixing, the broth was sprayed on to FYM and cured in trays for 2-5 days at 24°C. The product was again milled and packed in polyethylene bags.

Biofertilizer experiment: Healthy propagules of *Rhizophora mucronata* and *Avicennia marina* were collected along with their native soil from the Pichavaram mangrove forest (Lat. 11° 27'N; Long. 79° 47'E), situated along the southeast coast of India. They were raised in polybags under nursery of our Centre. Soil in the polybags was mixed with biofertilizer at a rate of 10-g per kg of soil either with *A. vinelandii*, or *B. megaterium*, and or mixed cultures of these two bacteria (5 g + 5 g). The polybags were irrigated separately with freshwater, diluted (17.5 g l⁻¹) and undiluted seawater (35 g l⁻¹). Control was maintained without addition of any biofertilizers in to the soil. Five replicates of propagules were maintained for each treatment. After 60 days of the experiment, plant height, shoot biomass and root biomass of the mangrove seedlings were recorded.

III. RESULTS

Studies revealed that *Azotobacter vinelandii* fixed nitrogen at 2.93 nM C₂H₄ per ml per hour and it also produced 2.688 mg of IAA per liter of culture, while *Bacillus megaterium* showed its ability to solubilize the phosphate at 0.141 mg per liter of culture.

In *Rhizophora mucronata*, the bacterial treatment increased the shoot height growth at different levels of salinity. This effect was higher by 44.2% in the bacillus-treated seedlings grown under 35 g l⁻¹ salinity (Figure 1), by 39.6% in the mixture treated seedlings raised under 17.5 g l⁻¹, and by 28.1% in the azotobacter-treated seedling under 35 g l⁻¹, than their respective controls (Table 1).

The bacillus increased the shoot biomass by 120.2% in the seedlings of *R. mucronata* grown under the salinity of 35 g l⁻¹ (Figure 1) Azotobacter and mixed culture increased the shoot biomass by 96.2% and 58.7% respectively, under 35 g l⁻¹ salinity (Table 1; Fig. 1).

The bacterial treatment significantly enhanced the root biomass in *R. mucronata*. The enhancement was 123.9% in the bacillus-treated seedlings grown in 35 g l⁻¹ (Figure 1) and 119.5% under freshwater condition. The azotobacter-treated seedlings raised in 35 g l⁻¹ (Figure 1) and freshwater showed higher root biomass by 69.6%. The mixed culture exhibited 61.5% higher root biomass in the seedlings grown under 17.5 g l⁻¹. All the growth parameters were statistically significant between the treatments, but not between the salinity levels (Table 1).

In *Avicennia marina*, the bacterial inoculation increased the shoot height growth at different levels of salinity. This effect was higher by 57.72% in the bacillus-treated seedling grown under 35 g l⁻¹ salinity (Figure 1), by 38.3% in the mixed culture treated seedlings raised under 17.5 g l⁻¹, and by 30.1% in the azotobacter-treated ones under freshwater condition, than their respective controls (Table 1).

The bacillus and azotobacter increased the shoot biomass respectively by 171.6% and 153.7% in the seedlings of *A. marina* grown under the salinity of 35 g l⁻¹ (Figure 1). The mixed cultures increased the shoot biomass by 118.51% in the seedlings raised under freshwater (Table 1).

The bacillus, mixed culture and azotobacter enhanced the root biomass respectively by 162.8, 106.9 and 74.4% in the seedlings grown under 35 g l⁻¹ (Figure 1). All the growth parameters were statistically significant between the treatments, but not between the salinity levels (Table 1).

IV. DISCUSSION

Azotobacters as biofertilizer: The azotobacters are known to improve seed germination and plant growth in several crops (4-7). However, only a few studies are available on the biofertilizer effect of azotobacters on mangroves. Ravikumar (8) has investigated the biofertilizer effects of azotobacters isolated from the Pichavaram mangrove forests, on the growth of mangrove seedlings. Purushothaman (9) has also investigated the influence of azotobacters isolated from the Gulf of Mannar, on the growth and biomass production in mangrove seedlings. Both the workers have recorded that the azotobacters enhanced the growth of mangrove seedlings, as observed in the present study. However, the present work shows better results (Table 1; Figure 1). The promotory effect of *Azotobacter vinelandii* may be attributed to several reasons. The bacterial strain is

capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen and making it available to the mangrove seedlings (8,9) and it also produces phytohormone - IAA, which helps in promoting growth (8,10). This experimental result is in agreement with the field observation that seedlings of *Rhizophora* species grow luxuriant in the rhizosphere soil that is rich in azotobacters (11).

Fig.1a,b60-day old seedlings of *Rhizophora mucronata* (1a) and *Avicennia marina* (1b) inoculated with *Azotobacter vinelandii* (A), *Bacillus megaterium* (B), mixture (AB) and uninoculated control (C) grown at 35 g l⁻¹ salinity.



Fig. 1.a



Fig. 1.b

Bacillus as biofertilizer: The beneficial effects of the phosphate solubilizing bacteria on mangroves are reported here for the first time. However, such of the effects on crop plants are well-known. A commercial biofertilizer under the name 'phosphobacterin' has been prepared by incorporating *Bacillus megaterium* var. *phosphaticum* and is widely used in Russia and other East European countries and get crop yield increases of 5-10 % over corresponding controls. The Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi has conducted several field trials on the effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria on wheat, maize and rice. The results have shown significant increase in yield over uninoculated controls under different agroclimatic conditions of the country 1. The phosphate solubilizing bacteria such as *Bacillus* species possess the ability to convert insoluble phosphates in the soil into soluble forms by secreting organic acids, such as formic, acetic, propionic, lactic, glycolic, fumaric, and succinic

acids. These organic acids produced by the bacteria reduce pH and bring about the dissolution of bound forms of phosphate. Some of the acids may chelate with calcium and iron, resulting in effective solubilization of phosphates^{1,12}.

In the present study, *Bacillus megaterium* enhances the growth and biomass in mangrove species, and this effect is much better than *Azotobacter vinelandii*. For example, *B. megaterium* has increased the root biomass in *Rhizophora mucronata* by 123.9%, whereas *Azotobacter vinelandii* has increased it by 69.6% over control. The bacillus has increased the shoot growth of *Avicennia marina* by 57.7%, whereas azotobacter has increased it by 30.1% over control (Table 1). However, the mixture of the two strains does not have better biofertilizer effect than the strains when used individually. This may be due to the antagonistic effect between the two strains.

Table 1. Influence of microbial strains on shoot growth, shoot biomass and root biomass in *Rhizophora mucronata* and *Avicennia marina* under different levels of salinity

Salinity (g l ⁻¹)	<i>Rhizophora mucronata</i>			<i>Avicennia marina</i>		
	Shoot height (cm)	Shoot biomass (g)	Root biomass (g)	Shoot height (cm)	Shoot biomass (g)	Root biomass (g)
0	17.88 (15.5)	1.56 (6.8)	0.78 (69.6)	19.54 (30.1)	0.354 (118.5)	0.226 (48.7)
17.5	17.34 (21.7)	1.75 (42.3)	0.67 (28.8)	19.04 (26.8)	0.368 (78.6)	0.184 (48.4)
35	21.34 (28.1)	2.04 (96.2)	0.78 (69.6)	17.04 (29.1)	0.244 (82.1)	0.15 (74.4)
0	19.6 (26.6)	1.71 (17.1)	1.01 (119.6)	22.26 (48.2)	0.384 (137.0)	0.23 (51.3)
17.5	17.93 (25.9)	1.98 (60.9)	0.75 (44.2)	22.28 (46.6)	0.376 (82.5)	0.226 (82.3)
35	24.02 (44.2)	2.29 (120.2)	1.03 (123.9)	20.82 (57.7)	0.364 (171.6)	0.226 (162.8)
0	16.9 (9.2)	1.31	0.70 (52.2)	15.66 (4.3)	0.214 (32.1)	0.172 (13.2)

17.5	19.88 (39.6)	1.89 (53.7)	0.84 (61.5)	21.02 (38.3)	0.428 (107.8)	0.232 (87.1)
35	18.00 (8.4)	1.65 (58.7)	0.68 (47.8)	16.36 (23.9)	0.34 (153.7)	0.178 (106.9)
0	15.48	1.46	0.46	15.02	0.162	0.152
17.5	14.24	1.23	0.52	15.2	0.206	0.124
35	16.66	1.04	0.46	13.2	0.134	0.086
	4.24**	112.38**	8.27**	14.97**	8.08**	9.57**
	2.88 NS	2.87 NS	0.19 NS	1.26 NS	2.19 NS	2.38 NS

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Annamalai University for providing the facilities.

REFERENCES

- [1] Subba Rao, N.S., 1997, Biofertilizers in agriculture and forestry. Oxfords and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, 242.
- [2] Gorden, S.A., Paleg, L.G., 1957, Quantitative measurement of Indole acetic acid. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 10, pp. 37-48.
- [3] Geeta Singh, 1997, Estimation of phosphate solubilizing capacity of microorganisms, Training manual on Biofertilizers, IARI, New Delhi. 35.
- [4] Brown, M.E., Burlingam, S.K., 1968, Production of plant growth substances by *Azotobacter chroococcum*. *Journal of General Microbiology*, 53, pp. 135-144.
- [5] Barea, J.M., Brown, M.E., 1974, Effects on plant growth substances produced by *Azotobacter paspali* related to synthesis of plant growth regulating substances, *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 37, pp. 583-593.
- [6] Rangarajan, M., Muthukrishnan, P., 1974, Effects of *Azotobacter* on growth and yield of Bhindi, Proc: 14th Annual Conference of Association of Microbiologist in India, Bangalore, 29.
- [7] Patriquin, D.G., Dobereiner, J., Jain, D.K., 1983, Sites and process of association between diazotrophs and grasses. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 29, pp. 900-915.
- [8] Ravikumar, S., 1995, Nitrogen-fixing azotobacters from the mangrove habitat and their utility as biofertilizers. Ph.D. thesis, Annamalai University, India.
- [9] Purushothaman, A., 1999, Studies on bacterial ensemble in a developed *vis-a-vis* developing mangrove ecosystem. Ph.D. thesis, Annamalai University, India.
- [10] Azeon R, Barea, J.M., 1975, Synthesis of auxins, gibberellins and cytokinins by *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *A. beijerinckii* to effects produced on tomato plants. *Plant and Soil*, 43, pp. 609-619.
- [11] Kathiresan, K., Xavier Ramesh, M., Venkatesan, V., 1994, Forest structure and prawn seeds in Pitchavaram mangroves. *Environmental Ecology*, 12, pp. 465-468.
- [12] Kundu, B.S., Gera, R., Sharma, N., Bhatia, A., Sharma, R., 2002, Host specificity of phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*, 42, pp. 19-21.



Dr. K. Kathiresan from the Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology of Annamalai University has spent practically 25 years of his research career in studying mangroves and its microbiology. He has conducted many international and national training programmes on mangroves. His 250 research publications have been highly valued. He is the recipient of several national and international awards including the highest Degree of Doctor of Science in marine biology.